Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,718	MORIYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>80</u>		
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton</u> .	(3)	
(2) Amy E. Schmid {Reg. No. 55,965}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2009</u>	Time: <u>11:18am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 14, 21 and 26		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to discuss various problems in the claims which needed correcting to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative indicated that she would fax her clients for approval. On Thursday, February 5, 2009@11:43am, Ms. Schmid called the Examiner giving permission to make the discussed changes by Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Laura L. Stockton/		
(A _I	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)